

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/649,988 | | 08/27/2003 | Douglas C. McFaddin | 88-2048A | 6739 | |
| 33967 | 7590 | 05/10/2005 | EXAMINER | | | |
| EQUISTA 11530 NOR | | ICALS, L.P. | CHEUNG, V | CHEUNG, WILLIAM K | | |
| CINCINNA | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applicat | ion No. | Applicant(s) | - t | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|----------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/649,9 | | MCFADDIN, DOUG | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examine | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | • | | . Cheung | 1713 | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communi | | • | 1 | ress | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) file | d on <u>18 February 20</u> | <u>005</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ | 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1 and 6-12 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | | | |
| | The specification is objected to by the | e Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date | | 4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: | | .152) | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 2 (line 2), and claim 5 (line 2) recite the limitation "Brookfield viscosity". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 1 is claiming a powder. Since claim 1 is silent on any liquid medium, there is insufficient antecedent basis for a viscosity.

Allowances

- 4. Claims 1, 6-12 are allowed. Claims 2-5 would be allowable if the 112 rejection set forth is overcome.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As of the date of this office action, the examiner has not located or identified any reference that can be used singularly or in combination with another reference including

Application/Control Number: 10/649,988

" Control Hamber: 107040,00

Art Unit: 1713

the closest prior art of Luker (US 5023388) to render the present invention anticipated or obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Luker (col. 1, line 42-52) disclose polyethylene waxes and their molecular weights. However, Luker is silent on a microfine polyethylene powder comprised of particles which are spherical or substantially spherical in shape and having an average particle size from 1 to 5 microns and wherein 80 percent or more of the particles range in size from 0.1 to 7 microns, said polyethylene powder having a weight average molecular weight from 20,000 to 55,000 g/mol and density from 0.895 to 0.925 g/cm³ as claimed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K. Cheung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William K. Cheung

Primary Examiner

May 1, 2005

WILLIAM K CHELPAS WILLIAM K CHELPAS WILLIAM K CHELPAS WILLIAM K CHELPAS WILLIAM K CHELPAS